

Message

From: Chesnutt, John [chesnutt.john@epa.gov]
Sent: 6/23/2020 9:39:06 PM
To: Chesnutt, John [Chesnutt.John@epa.gov]; Praskins, Wayne [Praskins.Wayne@epa.gov]
Subject: Conversation with Chesnutt, John

Praskins, Wayne 1:53 PM:

Do you want a 1 minute update on the building RG evaluation before 2? If so, call me at Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)

Chesnutt, John 2:13 PM:

sorry I missed this. Maybe the building issue will not come up today. Yes, wel

Chesnutt, John 2:13 PM:

we will need to catch up on that issue soon wrt Stuart's concern

Praskins, Wayne 2:16 PM:

Bottom line is Stuart wants Fred to do some BPRG runs to decide whether the RESRAD technical issue is significant and whether he supports using RESRAD for HPNS fixed contamination. Will take him a week or 2, he said. That postpones setting up a call with the Navy.

Chesnutt, John 2:17 PM:

argh

Chesnutt, John 2:21 PM:

Wonder why Stuart didn't do this earlier

Chesnutt, John 2:22 PM:

I think if Greg/Mary heard he was doing this, they would not be happy

Chesnutt, John 2:29 PM:

That's exactly why Greg wanted the OSTRI call with his management, so we could move on. Now we are delayed again.

Praskins, Wayne 2:31 PM:

Stuart's concern about the RESRAD issue does seem to have increased. To his defense, Stuart and I both brought up the RESARD issue as an outstanding issue in the OSRTI call that Greg and Mary were on.

Praskins, Wayne 2:33 PM:

By RESRAD issue, I mean the uncertainty about how RESRAD calculates risk from an area source (vs. a volume source - i.e., contamination with finite depth)

Chesnutt, John 2:34 PM:

Oh, I thought it was maybe a different, new issue

Chesnutt, John 2:34 PM:

But that's not the case

Chesnutt, John 2:34 PM:

yes, you were clear that this was a potential remaining issue